

Jackson / Teton County Scenarios Public Workshop Results

Created: 1/30/2008

What is your age? (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Under 18 years	0.55%	1
18-29 years	8.79%	16
30-44 years	24.18%	44
45-64 years	53.85%	98
65 years and older	12.64%	23
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>182</b>

What is your gender? (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Female	51.11%	92
Male	48.89%	88
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>180</b>

What is your connection to the area? (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Full-Time Resident	97.81%	179
Part-Time Resident	1.09%	2
Work but don't live in Teton County	1.09%	2
Visitor	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>183</b>

Where do you live (full or part-time)? (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Buffalo Valley or Kelly	3.24%	6
Town of Jackson	33.51%	62
Wilson	19.46%	36
Alta	0%	0
Teton Village	1.08%	2
South Park Area	29.73%	55
Hoback Junction Area	3.24%	6
Other part of Teton County	9.19%	17
Teton County, Idaho	0%	0
Outside Teton County	0.54%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>185</b>

How many years have you lived in Teton County? (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Less than 1 year	1.64%	3
1-2 years	7.65%	14
3-5 years	10.38%	19
6-10 years	13.66%	25
11- 20 years	24.04%	44
Over 20 years	42.62%	78
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>183</b>

What is your field of work or affiliation? (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Local, state or federal government	13.04%	24
Non-Profit	16.30%	30
Education or healthcare	7.07%	13
Land development or construction	14.67%	27
Agriculture/ranching	1.63%	3
Service industry (retail, recreation, tourism)	13.59%	25
Mining / energy sector	1.09%	2
At-home caregiver	0.54%	1
Retired	15.22%	28
Other	16.85%	31
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>184</b>

**How Well Do the Vision and Guiding Principles Capture Your Values? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Very Well	43.92%	83
Somewhat	38.10%	72
Neutral	5.82%	11
Not Quite	5.82%	11
Not Very Well	4.76%	9
Don't know / no opinion	1.59%	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>189</b>

**Of the six Guiding Principles, rank your priorities for Teton County and Jackson: (pick in order of priority)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Stewardship of Wildlife Habitat & Sensitive Areas	17.40%	187
Diverse Housing Needs	16.37%	176
Transportation System	15.72%	169
Managed Growth	17.02%	183
Jackson as "Heart"	16.93%	182
Diverse, Balanced Community	16.56%	178
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1075</b>

*Note: Data for this question does not appear to be working correctly; software tabulated responses instead of ranking.*

**Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Preserve more open space	53.85%	105
Build more workforce and affordable housing	18.97%	37
Equally important	27.18%	53
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>195</b>

**Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Preserve more wildlife habitat and wildlife migration corridors	66.86%	117
Build more workforce and affordable housing	15.43%	27
Equally important	16.57%	29
Don't know / no opinion	1.14%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>175</b>

**Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Limit overall growth in the valley	56.32%	107
Build more workforce and affordable housing	23.16%	44
Equally important	20%	38
Don't know / no opinion	0.53%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>190</b>

**Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Add traffic capacity by widening roads	6.19%	12
Preserve wildlife migration corridors	84.54%	164
Equally important	7.73%	15
Don't know / no opinion	1.55%	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Increase transit services	76.56%	147
Build new roads	8.85%	17
Equally important	10.42%	20
Don't know / no opinion	4.17%	8
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>192</b>

**Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Expand workforce housing & community services in outlying population centers	11.79%	23
Centralize housing and services in Jackson	71.79%	140
Equally important	14.87%	29
Don't know / no opinion	1.54%	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>195</b>

**Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Put a cap on resort developments (allow only currently approved resorts)	81.68%	156
Allow for additional resort developments	10.47%	20
Don't know / no opinion	7.85%	15
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>191</b>

**With which of the following statements about workforce/affordable housing do you most strongly agree? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Affordable housing in the Valley for all workers	39.90%	77
Affordable housing in the Valley for emergency service and other essential workers	43.01%	83
No more affordable housing in the Valley	16.06%	31
Don't know / no opinion	1.04%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>193</b>

**With which of the following statements about Town development do you most strongly agree? (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Maintain current building heights in town today	30.53%	58
Allow careful redevelopment of mixed-use outside of the town square with up to 3 story buildings	42.63%	81
Same as #2, with up to 4 story buildings	26.84%	51
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>190</b>

**More development and population in the Town of Jackson is OK if this means less development in the county. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	44.04%	85
Agree	34.20%	66
Neutral	8.81%	17
Disagree	6.22%	12
Strongly Disagree	5.70%	11
Don't know / no opinion	1.04%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>193</b>

**More development and population in the Town of Jackson is OK if this means preserving agricultural and natural resource areas in the county. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	64.43%	125
Agree	21.13%	41
Neutral	6.70%	13
Disagree	4.64%	9
Strongly Disagree	3.09%	6
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**More development and population in South Park area if this means limiting development in rural areas of Teton County. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	19.37%	37
Agree	28.80%	55

Neutral	6.28%	12
Disagree	14.66%	28
Strongly Disagree	27.75%	53
Don't know / no opinion	3.14%	6
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>191</b>

**Expansion of town to provide for more housing is OK if an equal amount of land somewhere else in the County is preserved from development. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	32.73%	36
Agree	24.55%	27
Neutral	10.91%	12
Disagree	13.64%	15
Strongly Disagree	15.45%	17
Don't know / no opinion	2.73%	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>110</b>

**Expansion of town to provide for more housing is OK if an equal amount of land somewhere else in the County is preserved from development. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	17.78%	32
Agree	28.89%	52
Neutral	11.67%	21
Disagree	16.11%	29
Strongly Disagree	22.22%	40
Don't know / no opinion	3.33%	6
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>180</b>

**Expansion of town-density to promote more affordable/workforce housing. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	40.21%	78
Agree	37.11%	72
Neutral	6.19%	12
Disagree	8.25%	16
Strongly Disagree	8.25%	16
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Limit growth and development in the county overall, even if it reduces the ability to provide workforce and affordable housing in the Valley. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	40.72%	79
Agree	21.65%	42
Neutral	5.15%	10
Disagree	15.46%	30
Strongly Disagree	15.98%	31
Don't know / no opinion	1.03%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Keep current home and lot sizes stable in existing single-family neighborhoods in Jackson to preserve neighborhood character. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	38.02%	73
Agree	22.92%	44
Neutral	13.02%	25
Disagree	17.19%	33
Strongly Disagree	7.81%	15
Don't know / no opinion	1.04%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>192</b>

**To minimize development of agricultural lands and wildlife habitat, allow landowners to move development rights from one parcel of land to a different parcel of land. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	33.52%	60

Agree	39.66%	71
Neutral	9.50%	17
Disagree	7.82%	14
Strongly Disagree	6.15%	11
Don't know / no opinion	3.35%	6
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>179</b>

Designate large agricultural areas in Alta as “sending areas”. (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	8.81%	17
Agree	24.35%	47
Neutral	14.51%	28
Disagree	20.21%	39
Strongly Disagree	17.62%	34
Don't know / no opinion	14.51%	28
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>193</b>

Designate sensitive resource areas (habitat, floodplains, steep slopes) as “sending areas”—with greatly reduced development potential. (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	59.36%	111
Agree	24.60%	46
Neutral	4.81%	9
Disagree	3.21%	6
Strongly Disagree	4.81%	9
Don't know / no opinion	3.21%	6
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>187</b>

Allow an increase in development in the Downtown (outside town square) as a tradeoff for conserving resources in designated areas. (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	48.39%	90
Agree	34.95%	65
Neutral	5.91%	11
Disagree	5.91%	11
Strongly Disagree	4.30%	8
Don't know / no opinion	0.54%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>186</b>

Limit development in county rural and resource areas while increasing development in the Town of Jackson as a trade-off. (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	51.32%	97
Agree	26.98%	51
Neutral	3.17%	6
Disagree	9.52%	18
Strongly Disagree	7.41%	14
Don't know / no opinion	1.59%	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>189</b>

Allow additional residential development within 1/2 mile of the Aspens/Teton Pines commercial area. (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	24.35%	47
Agree	26.42%	51
Neutral	7.77%	15
Disagree	17.62%	34
Strongly Disagree	21.24%	41
Don't know / no opinion	2.59%	5
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>193</b>

Allow additional residential development near the Wilson core area. (select one)

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	28.12%	54
Agree	35.42%	68

Neutral	4.69%	9
Disagree	11.98%	23
Strongly Disagree	18.75%	36
Don't know / no opinion	1.04%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>192</b>

**Allow some town residential density in South Park near the high school. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	32.63%	62
Agree	35.79%	68
Neutral	5.79%	11
Disagree	6.84%	13
Strongly Disagree	18.95%	36
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>190</b>

**Allow some town residential density in South Park near South Park Loop Road. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	15.76%	29
Agree	23.37%	43
Neutral	2.72%	5
Disagree	11.41%	21
Strongly Disagree	46.20%	85
Don't know / no opinion	0.54%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>184</b>

**Allow additional residential and mixed-use development near the Hoback Junction. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	14.95%	29
Agree	33.51%	65
Neutral	14.43%	28
Disagree	15.98%	31
Strongly Disagree	19.07%	37
Don't know / no opinion	2.06%	4
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Should we remove any of the growth centers on Scenario B? (select all that apply)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Aspens/Pines	16.35%	68
Wilson	14.42%	60
South Park near High School Road	10.58%	44
South Park (Loop Road)	26.68%	111
Town of Jackson	4.57%	19
Hoback	15.62%	65
None	11.78%	49
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>416</b>

**Limit downtown redevelopment to 2 stories in the area immediately surrounding the town square. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	34.74%	66
Agree	17.89%	34
Neutral	5.79%	11
Disagree	20%	38
Strongly Disagree	21.05%	40
Don't know / no opinion	0.53%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>190</b>

**Allow downtown redevelopment with mixed-use and housing to 3 stories along major corridors outside of town square area. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	40.72%	79
Agree	31.96%	62
Neutral	4.12%	8

Disagree	10.82%	21
Strongly Disagree	12.37%	24
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Allow downtown redevelopment with mixed-use and housing up to 4 stories along major corridors outside of town square area. (Not currently proposed in C) (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	16.58%	32
Agree	18.13%	35
Neutral	6.22%	12
Disagree	18.65%	36
Strongly Disagree	39.38%	76
Don't know / no opinion	1.04%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>193</b>

**Increase the provision of workforce/affordable housing as a priority over additional commercial or resort development. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	50.78%	98
Agree	26.42%	51
Neutral	7.25%	14
Disagree	4.66%	9
Strongly Disagree	10.36%	20
Don't know / no opinion	0.52%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>193</b>

**Promote the long-term redevelopment of the Jackson "Y" area (improve road network, pedestrian-oriented, additional workforce housing and services). (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	51.03%	99
Agree	32.99%	64
Neutral	6.19%	12
Disagree	4.64%	9
Strongly Disagree	4.64%	9
Don't know / no opinion	0.52%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Allow town-level residential development south of the high school as an extension of the Town of Jackson. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	26.29%	51
Agree	25.26%	49
Neutral	5.67%	11
Disagree	16.49%	32
Strongly Disagree	25.77%	50
Don't know / no opinion	0.52%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Allow some commercial development south of the high school as an extension of the Town of Jackson. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	12.23%	23
Agree	16.49%	31
Neutral	4.79%	9
Disagree	22.34%	42
Strongly Disagree	44.15%	83
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>188</b>

**Limit development overall in rural parts of Teton County and redevelopment of Jackson. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	31.96%	62
Agree	21.65%	42

Neutral	4.64%	9
Disagree	21.65%	42
Strongly Disagree	18.56%	36
Don't know / no opinion	1.55%	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>194</b>

**Minimize future change in downtown and residential neighborhoods. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	20.53%	39
Agree	13.68%	26
Neutral	11.58%	22
Disagree	28.42%	54
Strongly Disagree	25.79%	49
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>190</b>

**Provide workforce housing outside of the county in neighboring communities as a trade-off for limiting development in Teton County and Jackson. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	19.15%	36
Agree	14.36%	27
Neutral	5.85%	11
Disagree	17.55%	33
Strongly Disagree	42.02%	79
Don't know / no opinion	1.06%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>188</b>

**Consider a Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) program to accomplish objectives such as agricultural and wildlife habitat protection. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	44.94%	80
Agree	38.20%	68
Neutral	4.49%	8
Disagree	5.06%	9
Strongly Disagree	4.49%	8
Don't know / no opinion	2.81%	5
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>178</b>

**Establish a funding source (i.e., a bond, fees, sales or property tax) to acquire open space or conservation areas for critical habitat. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	55.25%	100
Agree	22.65%	41
Neutral	8.29%	15
Disagree	8.84%	16
Strongly Disagree	4.97%	9
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>181</b>

**Implement stronger standards to protect natural resource areas as part of new developments. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	66.85%	123
Agree	20.11%	37
Neutral	5.43%	10
Disagree	4.35%	8
Strongly Disagree	2.72%	5
Don't know / no opinion	0.54%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>184</b>

**Make zoning changes to eliminate all bonus options (i.e., PUDs, ARUs) to protect natural resources and rural character. (select one)**

	Responses	
	(percent)	(count)
Strongly Agree	54.40%	99
Agree	15.38%	28

Neutral	7.14%	13
Disagree	11.54%	21
Strongly Disagree	8.79%	16
Don't know / no opinion	2.75%	5
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>182</b>

**The county and town should require a higher proportion of workforce housing to be built as part of new developments. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	49.46%	91
Agree	21.74%	40
Neutral	4.35%	8
Disagree	10.87%	20
Strongly Disagree	12.50%	23
Don't know / no opinion	1.09%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>184</b>

**Continue to use incentives, rather than an increase in requirements, to encourage more workforce housing in new development. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	24.86%	45
Agree	19.34%	35
Neutral	5.52%	10
Disagree	19.34%	35
Strongly Disagree	29.83%	54
Don't know / no opinion	1.10%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>181</b>

**The county's total buildout should be increased as an affordable housing strategy. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	8.70%	16
Agree	10.33%	19
Neutral	5.98%	11
Disagree	21.20%	39
Strongly Disagree	50.54%	93
Don't know / no opinion	3.26%	6
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>184</b>

**To protect natural resources and rural character, the county should reduce potential development in remote unplatted areas to 1 unit/35 acres. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	47.22%	85
Agree	20%	36
Neutral	7.22%	13
Disagree	12.78%	23
Strongly Disagree	10%	18
Don't know / no opinion	2.78%	5
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>180</b>

**Set a restriction on the amount of annual growth allowed (e.g., 1 or 2% increase per year). (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	35.22%	56
Agree	23.27%	37
Neutral	3.77%	6
Disagree	18.87%	30
Strongly Disagree	17.61%	28
Don't know / no opinion	1.26%	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>159</b>

**Construct roads to relieve congestion. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	11.17%	20
Agree	19.55%	35

Neutral	8.94%	16
Disagree	25.70%	46
Strongly Disagree	34.08%	61
Don't know / no opinion	0.56%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>179</b>

**Construct carpool-bus lanes to relieve congestion. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	12.05%	20
Agree	27.11%	45
Neutral	7.83%	13
Disagree	26.51%	44
Strongly Disagree	24.70%	41
Don't know / no opinion	1.81%	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>166</b>

**Expand bus service to relieve congestion. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	55%	99
Agree	32.22%	58
Neutral	2.78%	5
Disagree	5%	9
Strongly Disagree	4.44%	8
Don't know / no opinion	0.56%	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>180</b>

**Construct pathways to provide choices. (select one)**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly Agree	61.63%	106
Agree	26.74%	46
Neutral	4.07%	7
Disagree	2.33%	4
Strongly Disagree	5.23%	9
Don't know / no opinion	0%	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>172</b>

**Overall Rating Scenario A**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly like	50.28%	91
Like	32.04%	58
Neutral	4.97%	9
Dislike	7.18%	13
Strongly Dislike	5.52%	10
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>181</b>

**Overall Rating Scenario B**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly like	21.05%	36
Like	21.05%	36
Neutral	8.77%	15
Dislike	18.71%	32
Strongly Dislike	30.41%	52
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>171</b>

**Overall Rating Scenario C**

	<b>Responses</b>	
	<b>(percent)</b>	<b>(count)</b>
Strongly like	39.89%	71
Like	34.27%	61
Neutral	11.80%	21
Dislike	11.80%	21
Strongly Dislike	2.25%	4
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>178</b>

**Overall Rating Scenario D**

Strongly like  
Like  
Neutral  
Dislike  
Strongly Dislike

Responses		
	(percent)	(count)
	40%	70
	13.14%	23
	10.86%	19
	17.14%	30
	18.86%	33
<b>Totals</b>	100%	175