

**Jackson/Teton County Comprehensive Plan Update
All Joint Planning Commission Recommendations From 2/4/2010**

Topic: Theme 4: Meet Our Community's Housing Needs

Approved Jointly

Rec. #	Recommendation	County	Town	Date
285	Staff should rewrite the fourth basis to discuss maintenance of a sense of community by encouraging housing for full-time residents (community) over housing for seasonal residents (resort)	3 - 0	5 - 0	2/4/2010
271	Set a goal of maintaining the current percentage of the workforce living locally (65-70%)	3 - 0	4 - 1	2/4/2010
272	Set a goal of increasing the percentage of the workforce living locally beyond current (65-70%) levels [will supersede 271 if approved]	3 - 0	3 - 2	2/4/2010
273	With regard to socioeconomic diversity, set a goal of maintaining the current statistical distribution of incomes and ages in the community	2 - 1	5 - 0	2/4/2010
275	Set a goal of maintaining the current ratio of housing for full-time residents (community) to housing for seasonal residents (resort)	3 - 0	5 - 0	2/4/2010
276	Set a goal of increasing the current ratio of housing for full-time residents (community) to housing for seasonal residents (resort) [will supersede 275 if approved]	3 - 0	3 - 2	2/4/2010
286	There is no prioritization between use of mitigation regulations, permanent funding, or incentives in addressing the impacts from new development	3 - 0	5 - 0	2/4/2010
280	Mitigation on residential development should generally be determined by a sliding scale based on the impact from the development	3 - 0	3 - 2	2/4/2010
281	Mitigation on nonresidential development should generally be determined by a sliding scale based on the impact from the development	3 - 0	4 - 1	2/4/2010
282	Development of new small businesses and small homes should be exempt from mitigation requirements	2 - 1	5 - 0	2/4/2010

Approved Teton County

Rec. #	Recommendation	County	Town	Date
274	With regard to socioeconomic diversity, set a goal of achieving a more even statistical distribution of incomes [will partially supersede 273 if approved]	3 - 0	2 - 3	2/4/2010
279	Mitigation on nonresidential development should generally stay the same as it is under the current requirements to house those peak seasonal workers that cannot afford housing	3 - 0	0 - 5	2/4/2010

Approved Town of Jackson

Rec. #	Recommendation	County	Town	Date
278	Mitigation on nonresidential development should generally decrease from the current requirements to house those peak seasonal workers that cannot afford housing	1 - 2	5 - 0	2/4/2010

Jackson/Teton County Comprehensive Plan Update
All Joint Planning Commission Recommendations From 2/4/2010

Failed Jointly

Rec. #	Recommendation	County	Town	Date
277	Mitigation on nonresidential development should generally increase above the current requirements to house those peak seasonal workers that cannot afford housing	0 – 3	0 – 5	2/4/2010
283	New small developments in Town should be exempt from mitigation requirements	1 – 2	2 – 3	2/4/2010
284	The 2009 draft prioritizes preservation of existing housing stock over the use of density bonus incentives in addressing the potential impacts from the resale/redevelopment of existing housing stock (Policy 4.3a). Is this prioritization still accurate?	0 – 3	0 – 5	2/4/2010